Thermal polymerization of *p*-methyl styrene at high conversions and temperatures

O. Chiantore* and A. E. Hamielec

McMaster Institute for Polymer Production Technology, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada L8S 4L7 (Received 2 March 1984)

An experimental investigation of the kinetics of the thermally initiated free radical polymerization of pmethyl styrene in bulk at temperatures of 120°, 140° and 160° in the conversion range, 0-96% is reported. Conversions were measured gravimetrically and by gas chromatography and molecular weight distributions and weight-average molecular weights by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) and low angle laser light scattering photometry (LALLSP). A kinetic model which accounts for diffusioncontrolled termination and predicts conversion/time and molecular weight and long chain branching development for nonisothermal polymerizations has been developed. This model should find use in the design, simulation and optimization of poly(p-methyl styrene) reactors.

(Keywords: p-methyl styrene; thermal polymerization; kinetics; high temperatures; conversions)

INTRODUCTION

The Mobil Chemical Co. has recently begun the commerical production of p-methyl styrene having greater than 97% para isomer, a monomer which may soon be competitive (in cost) with styrene. A particularly attractive feature of poly(p-methyl styrene) is its high glass transition point (110°C-113°C for 97+% para isomer based polymer)¹. The commercial production of poly(pmethyl styrene) and copolymers based on p-methyl styrene appears imminent.

The free radical polymerization kinetics of styrene and *p*-methyl styrene are similar¹ and it is therefore likely that the thermal initiation mechanism includes a Diels-Alder adduct intermediate². The mechanism of thermal initiation for p-methyl styrene should be similar to that for styrene outlined in Figures 1 and 2^2 . During the thermal polymerization of styrene small quantities of dimeric and trimeric styrenes as well as monoradicals are formed. The reaction scheme is based on the Mayo mechanism in which a Diels-Alder reaction between two styrene molecules occurs. An unstable Diels-Alder adduct (Z) is formed and secondary reactions of this intermediate results in phenyltetralin, cyclic trimers and monoradicals which initiate the polymerization. Cis- and trans-1,2 diphenylcyclobutanes are formed in a separate reaction involving two styrene molecules. The dimeric and trimeric styrenes, although present in small quantities, usually less than 1% based on polystyrene, are objectionable and attempts are made to remove them during the devolatilization of the monomer. Investigations by Olaj led to the development of a reaction mechanism in which two intermediates of different reactivities are present (see Figure 2 and Kirchner and Riederle²). Intermediate ZA rapidly reaches its stationary level and radical formation

* Permanent address: Istituto di Chimica Macromolecolare, Università Torino, Torino, Italy.

0032-3861/85/040608-07\$03.00 © 1985 Butterworth & Co. (Publishers) Ltd.

608 POLYMER, 1985, Vol 26, April



Figure 1 Mechanism of thermal initiation of styrene after Mayo²

proceeds exclusively through ZA. Trimers as well as monoradicals are formed from ZA. The intermediate ZA also undergoes chain transfer reactions. Kirchner and Riederle² have shown that the reactions involved in thermal initiation of styrene do not become diffusioncontrolled at high monomer conversions ($\leq 97\%$) in bulk polymerizations. The same conclusions are likely true for



Figure 2 Mechanism of thermal initiation of styrene after Mayo and modified by \mbox{Olaj}^2

the thermal bulk polymerization of p-methyl styrene. A detailed investigation of the reactions involved in the thermal initiation of p-methyl styrene has not been carried out in the present investigation.

MODEL DEVELOPMENT

The reactions which are considered in the model include:

Initiation

The rate of polymer radical initiation by the thermal initiation mechanism shown in *Figures 1* and 2 may be given by $R_1 = 2k_i[M]^3$. Following the original work on thermal bulk polymerization of styrene^{3,4} we assume that the radical initiation rate is third order in monomer. It is likely that an initiation rate second order in monomer would have fit the rate data equally well as was found with styrene³. There is however no simple test to unambiguously find the correct order with respect to monomer for thermal initiation. The use of a solvent might affect the propagation rate constant and possibly the initiation reactions.

Propagation

$$\mathbf{R}^{\bullet}_{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{M} \xrightarrow{k_{\mathbf{p}}} \mathbf{R}^{\bullet}_{\mathbf{r}+1}$$

Termination by combination

$$\mathbf{R}_{r}^{\bullet} + \mathbf{R}_{s}^{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{k}_{tc}} \mathbf{P}_{r+s}$$

Chain transfer (small molecules)

$$R_{r}^{\bullet} + M \xrightarrow{k_{fm}} P_{r} + R_{1}^{\bullet}$$
$$R_{r}^{\bullet} + X \xrightarrow{k_{fX}} P_{r} + R_{1}^{\bullet}$$

where X is a small molecule with a labile hydrogen.

Chain transfer to polymer (trifunctional branching)

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{r}}^{\bullet} + \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{s}} \xrightarrow{k_{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{p}}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{s}}^{\bullet}$$

The rate of monomer consumption is given by

$$-\frac{1}{V}\frac{\mathrm{d}N_{\mathsf{M}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = k_{\mathsf{p}}[\mathsf{M}][\mathsf{R}^{\bullet}] = \mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{p}} \tag{1}$$

where V is the volume of the polymerizing solution in a batch reactor

- $N_{\rm M}$ is the number of moles of monomer
- [M] is the monomer concentration
- $[\mathbf{R}^{\bullet}]$ is the total polymer radical concentration

Application of the stationary-state hypothesis for polymer radicals gives

$$[\mathbf{R}^{\bullet}] \approx (2k_{\rm i}[\mathbf{M}]^{3}/k_{\rm tc})^{1/2}$$
(2)

Replacing [R[•]] in equation (1) and introducing conversion $x = (N_{M_0} - N_M)/N_{M_0}$ gives

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = \left[\sqrt{2k_{\rm i}^{1/2}k_{\rm p}/k_{\rm tc}^{1/2}}\right] \left[\mathbf{M}\right]_0^{3/2} (1-x)^{5/2} / (1+\varepsilon x)^{3/2} \qquad (3)$$

Letting $\phi(x) = \left[\sqrt{2k_i^{1/2}k_p/k_{tc}^{1/2}}\right] [M]_0^{3/2}$

one can say that for isothermal polymerization at high temperatures (temperatures above the glass-transition temperature of the polymer being synthesized)

$$\phi(x)/\phi(0) = (k_{\rm tc}/k_{\rm tc})^{1/2}$$
 (4)

Or in other words, we assume that the propagation reaction and reactions involved in thermal initiation do not become diffusion-controlled. $\phi(x)$ is therefore a measure of diffusion-controlled termination. It is assumed that the volume varies linearly with conversion with ε , a constant contraction factor.

At monomer conversions below which transfer to polymer reactions are negligible, the polymer chains are linear and the instantaneous and accumulated molecular weight distributions $(w(r), \bar{w}(r))$ molecular weight averages $(M_n, M_w \text{ and } \bar{M}_n, \bar{M}_w)$ are given by

$$w(r) = (\tau + \beta)(\tau + B/2(\tau + \beta)r)r \exp(-(\tau + \beta)r)$$
(5)

$$\bar{w}(r) = \int_{0}^{\infty} w(r) \mathrm{d}x/x \tag{6}$$

$$M_{\rm n} = M_{\rm m}/(\tau + \beta/2) \tag{7}$$

$$M_{\rm w} = M_{\rm m} (2\tau + 3\beta)/(\tau + \beta)^2 \tag{8}$$

$$\bar{M}_{n} = x / \int_{0}^{x} (1/M_{n}) dx$$
(9)

$$\bar{M}_{w} = \int_{0}^{x} M_{w} dx/x \tag{10}$$

where

$$\tau = k_{\rm fm}/k_{\rm p} + (k_{\rm f_{ZA}}/k_{\rm p})([ZA]/[M]) + (k_{\rm f_D}/k_{\rm p})([D]/[M]) + (k_{\rm f_f}/k_{\rm p})([T]/[M])$$
(11)

$$\beta = (k_{\rm tc}^{1/2}/k_{\rm p})(2k_{\rm i})^{1/2} ([M])^{1/2}$$
(12)

In this study the quantitative measurements of [ZA], [D] and [T] (Diels-Alder adduct, dimers and trimers: see *Figures 1* and 2) were not carried out and to account for

the possible transfer to these species we employed the following empirical equation

$$\tau = C_{\rm m} + \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{X} \tag{13}$$

where B_1X accounts for chain transfer to the accumulating species ZA, D and T. A similar procedure has been successfully applied in the thermal bulk polymerization of styrene^{3,4}.

At higher monomer conversions and when transfer to polymer is significant, polymer chains with trifunctional long chain branches form. The use of the instantaneous molecular weight distribution (equation (5)) is no longer valid and one must resort to the use of the method of moments to calculate molecular weight development and account for long chain branching. Equations for the leading moments of the molecular weight distribution and branching frequency follow.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}Y_{\mathrm{l}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}V(\tau + \beta/2) \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}Y_2}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}V \tag{15}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}Y_{3}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathbf{R}_{p}V\left[\left(1 + \frac{C_{p}Y_{3}}{[M]V}\right)\left(2\tau + 3\beta + \frac{2C_{p}Y_{2}}{[M]V} + \beta\frac{C_{p}Y_{3}}{[M]V}\right)\right]$$
$$\left(\tau + \beta + \frac{C_{p}Y_{2}}{[M]V}\right)^{2}\right]$$
(16)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}Y_4}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}} V \left(\frac{C_{\mathrm{p}} Y_2}{[\mathrm{M}] V} \right) \tag{17}$$

where $Y_1 = Q_0 V$ $Y_2 = Q_1 V$ $Y_3 = Q_2 V$ $Y_4 = Q_0 \overline{B}_n V$ $C_p = (k_{fp}/k_p)$ and $\overline{M}_n = M_m Q_1/Q_0$ (18)

$$\bar{M}_{\rm w} = M_{\rm m} Q_2 / Q_1 \tag{19}$$

$$\bar{\lambda}_{\rm n} = 1000 \, M_{\rm m} \bar{B}_{\rm n} / \bar{M}_{\rm n} \tag{20}$$

where \bar{B}_n is the number of long branches per polymer molecule and $\bar{\lambda}_n$ is the number of long branches per 1000 methyl carbons on the polymer chains. V is the volume of the reacting mixture and

$$Q_i = \sum_{2}^{\infty} r^i [P_r]$$
 and $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...$

EXPERIMENTAL

Thermal polymerizations of p-methyl styrene in bulk were carried out isothermally at 120°C, 140°C and 160°C in sealed glass ampoules (5 and 8 mm o.d.). The monomer, pmethyl styrene (>97% para isomer) was provided by Mobil Chemical Co., Edison, N.J. The inhibitor t-butyl catechol was removed using several washings with sodium hydroxide. Oxygen was removed by vacuum degassing using the freeze-thaw technique. Conversions were measured by gas chromatography and gravimetry. Weight-average molecular weights were measured by low angle laser light scattering photometry (Chromatix KMX6). The refractive index increment (dn/dc) was measured with a laser differential refractometer (Chromatrix KMX16). All measurements were carried out at room temperature with toluene as solvent. Molecular weight distributions and number and weight-average molecular weights were measured by SEC (Waters 150C) using toluene as the mobile phase at 40°C and u-Styragel packing. The molecular weight calibration curve for poly(*p*-methyl styrene) was found using the universal calibration curve based on polystyrene and broad MWDpoly(*p*-methyl styrene) standards with known \overline{M}_w found by using LALLSP offline⁶.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Parameters found for poly(p-methyl styrene) characterization

Refractive index increment

dn/dc = 0.0913 at 25°C in toluene (c in g/cm³) with $\lambda = 632.8$ nm.

Kinetic model parameters

Kinetic parameters (equations (21)–(28)) were found by fitting the measured rate and molecular weight data following published procedures³.

Third-order thermal initiation $(R_1 = 2k_i[M]^3)$

$$k_i = 1.13 \times 10^4 \exp(-24560/\text{RT})l^2/(\text{m}^2)(\text{s})$$
 (21)

$$k_{\rm p}/k_{\rm tc_{\rm s}}^{1/2} = 217.0 \exp(-6080/\rm{RT})l^{1/2}/(m^{1/2})(s^{1/2})$$
 (22)

$$C_{\rm m} = 0.150 \exp(-5630/RT)$$
 (23)

$$B_{1} = -[1.1 \times 10^{-3} + 2.56 \times 10^{-6}]$$
$$(T - 393.12)]\log_{10}\left(\frac{473.12 - T}{202.5}\right)$$
(24)

$$k_{\rm tc}/k_{\rm tc_0} = \exp(-2[A_1x + A_2x^2 + A_3x^3])$$
 (25)

$$A_1 = 0.405 - 5.05 \times 10^{-3} T \tag{26}$$

$$A_2 = 16.65 - 1.76 \times 10^{-2} T \tag{27}$$

$$A_3 = 8.98 + 7.85 \times 10^{-3} T \tag{28}$$

An estimate of C_p for the temperature range, $120^{\circ}C$ -160°C was found to be about 1.1×10^{-4} . Branching frequencies were too low to permit the temperature dependence of C_p to be found.

Comparison of predicted and measured data

Conversion/time curves

Figure 3 shows measured conversion/time curves at three temperatures 120°C, 140°C and 160°C for the thermal polymerization of p-methyl styrene. Also shown are the predicted conversion/time curves using the kinetic model with the kinetic parameters shown above. The agreement is clearly excellent with good fits up to high conversions with third-order initiation. The good fits are not unexpected as the variation of the termination constant (equation (25)) ensures a good fit. A second-order thermal initiation model would have given an equally good fit³.



Figure 3 Measured and predicted conversion/time curves for the thermal polymerization of p-methyl styrene. Curve A: 160°C; curve B: 140°C; curve C: 120°C



Figure 4 Measured ((\bigcirc), (\triangle): SEC and (\blacksquare): LALLSP) and predicted ($C_p = 0$) molecular weight average *versus* conversion at 120°C



Figure 5 Measured ((\bigcirc), (\triangle): SEC and (\blacksquare): LALLSP) and predicted ($C_p=0$) molecular weight averages *versus* conversion at 140°C



Figure 6 Measured ((\bigcirc), (\triangle): SEC and (\blacksquare): LALLSP) and predicted ($C_p = 0$) molecular weight averages *versus* conversion at 160°C

Molecular weight/conversion curves

Figures 4, 5 and 6 show measured \overline{M}_{n} and \overline{M}_{w} by SEC and LALLSP plotted versus conversion for three polymerization temperatures 120°C, 140°C and 160°C. Also shown are \overline{M}_n and \overline{M}_w predicted by the kinetic model. It should be pointed out that the kinetic model does not include long chain branching reactions such as transfer to polymer and hence at high conversions if branching occurs the model should predict \overline{M}_{w} values which are lower than those measured by SEC and LALLSP. It should also be pointed out that LALLSP gives the correct \bar{M}_{w} and that for polymers with long chain branching SEC underestimates \overline{M}_{w} . And finally it should be mentioned that transfer to polymer reactions do not affect \overline{M}_n but only \overline{M}_{w} and higher molecular weight averages. The model fits on \bar{M}_n are good, but model predictions of \bar{M}_w are always too low at high conversions. Transfer to polymer reactions are clearly significant in the thermal polymerization of *p*-methyl styrene at high conversions. The large differences in \overline{M}_{w} values at high conversions measured by SEC and LÄLLSP for 120°C polymerization are at first consideration unusually large as compared to those at 140°C and 160°C. One should, however, not forget that the polymerization times at 120°C are very much larger than those at 140°C and 160°C and also that the gel effect (diffusion-controlled termination) is stronger at the lower temperatures, giving relatively high radical concentrations at high conversion. In fact, at x = 0.9 the radical concentrations are almost the same for the three temperature levels. Plots of total polymer radical concentrations versus conversion for the three temperatures are shown in Figure 7. It is interesting to note the rapid drop in radical concentration for all three temperatures at high levels of conversion. This is typical for polymerizations where radicals are generated by thermal initiation and radical initiation rates are proportional to monomer concentration raised to some high power. It thus appears that high long chain branching frequencies would be difficult to obtain using thermal initiation. A chemical initiator with sufficiently long halflife would maintain high radical concentrations at high polymer concentrations (at high conversions) and give appreciably higher long chain branching frequencies than those obtained with thermal initiation. The almost constant radical concentration observed over intermediate



Figure 7 Predicted radical concentration *versus* conversion at 3 temperature levels. Curve A: 160°C; curve B: 140°C; curve C: 120°C



Figure 8 Measured ((**■**) LALLSP and predicted weightaverage molecular weights ($C_p=0$: (----) and $C_p=1.1 \times 10^{-4}$: (----)) at 120°C



Figure 8 Measured ((\blacksquare) LALLSP) and predicted weightaverage molecular weights ($C_p=0$: (-----) and $C_p=1.1 \times 10^{-4}$: (—)) at 140°C

conversions is due to diffusion-controlled termination. Comparing branching frequencies for the three temperatures at the same high conversion, it is now clear why the higher branching frequencies occur at 120°C.

Figures 8 and 9 show the model predictions of \overline{M}_{w} at 120°C and 140°C, with C_{p} set equal to zero and 1.1 × 10⁻⁴.



Figure 10 Predicted long chain branching frequency versus conversion at 120°C ($C_p = 1.1 \times 10^{-4}$)



Figure 11 Diffusion-controlled termination: measured decrease in k_{tc} with conversion at 3-temperature levels (curve A: 160°C; curve B: 140°C; curve C: 120°C) for *p*-methyl styrene polymerization



Figure 12 Diffusion-controlled termination: measured decrease in k_{tc} with conversion at 3-temperature levels (curve A: 160°C; curve B: 140°C; curve C: 120°C) for styrene polymerization



Figure 13 Measured (SEC) and predicted molecular weight distributions at low conversions and $120^{\circ}C$ (X=0.16)

Also shown are \overline{M}_{w} values measured by LALLSP. The value of $C_{p} = 1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ seems to underestimate \overline{M}_{w} at 120°C but is about right for 140°C. Considering the low branching frequencies observed and measurement errors, it is not possible to find the temperature dependence of C_{p} . The site for transfer to polymer is most certainly a hydrogen on the methyl group. This has been confirmed in an independent study on the kinetics of the copolymerization of styrene/p-methyl styrene⁵, where it was observed that long chain branching frequencies approached zero as

the composition of the copolymer approached 100% styrene.

Figure 10 shows model predictions of $\overline{\lambda}_n$ for 120°C and the typical rapid increase at high conversions. The branching frequencies are clearly very low for the thermal polymerization of *p*-methyl styrene. As mentioned previously, branching frequencies could be substantially increased with the use of a chemical initiator, particularly one which generates hot radicals.

Figures 11 and 12 show the decrease of the diffusioncontrolled termination constant with conversion for the thermal polymerization of styrene and p-methyl styrene. It is interesting to note that the decrease in k_{tc} is much greater for styrene. One could attribute this to the methyl group on the benzene ring as being responsible for the generation of free volume by its rotation and thus increasing the self-diffusion of the poly(p-methyl styrene) radicals at high conversions. Figure 11 indicates a small increase in k_{tc} before a rapid decrease. This may be due to a greater dependence of polymer coil size on concentration for poly(p-methyl styrene).

Figures 13, 14 and 15 show measured and predicted



Figure 14 Measured (SEC) and predicted molecular weight distributions at low conversions and $140^{\circ}C$ (X=0.21)



Figure 15 Measured (SEC) and predicted molecular weight distributions at low conversions and $160^{\circ}C$ (X = 0.11)

molecular weight distributions for poly(p-methyl styrene) synthesized at low conversions where long chain branching is not significant. The theoretical curves are instantaneous at zero conversion. The agreement between measured and predicted distributions is likely within experimental error.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors appreciate the financial support for this research provided by Mobil Chemical Co., Edison, N.J., USA, and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research

Council of Canada. One of the authors (O.C.) wishes to acknowledge the Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche for financial support through a NATO fellowship.

REFERENCES

- 1 Ragonese, F. P., Prapas, A. G. and Kaeding, W. W. Am. Chem. Soc., 'New Monomers and Polymers', Kansas City, USA (1982)
- 2
- Kirchner, K. and Riederle, K. Angew. Makromol. Chem. 1983, 111, 1 Hui, A. W. and Hamielec, A. E. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 1972, 16, 749 Husain, A. and Hamielec, A. E. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 1978, 22, 1207 3 4
- Bhattacharya, D. Ph.D. thesis in progress, McMaster University 5 (1983)
- 6 Hamielec, A. E. and Omorodion, S. N. E. Am. Chem. Soc. Symp. Series. 1980, 138, 183